BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date: October 19, 2005	Division: Growth Management
Bulk Item: Yes X No	Department: Planning & Environmental Res.
	Staff Contact Person: K. Marlene Conaway
AGENDA ITEM WORDING:	
	solution replacing Resolution No. 211-2003 and
establishing a new fee schedule for planning and d	•
ITEM BACKGROUND:	
The Growth Management Division has prepared	a new fee schedule for submittal of planning and
	schedule is based on an analysis of applications and
incorporates "full labor" costs incurred to process	
The new fee schedule is intended to fully recover	costs incurred in the processing of applications and
	the development approval pay the full share of the
_	e proposed fee increase is conservatively estimated to
provide at least \$375,000 in revenues, as reflected	in the adopted FY 06 County Budget.
PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOCC ACTION:	
	Tune 18, 2003 and the County FY 06 Budget on
September 28, 2005.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
CONTRACT/AGREEMENT CHANGES: N/A	
CONTRACT/AGREEMENT CHANGES, N/A	
STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:	
Approval	
TOTAL COST: \$375,000	BUDGETED: Yes X No
COST TO COUNTY: N/A	COURCE OF FUNDS.
COST TO COUNTY: N/A	SOURCE OF FUNDS: User Fees
REVENUE PRODUCING: Yes X No A	MOUNT PER MONTH YEAR: \$375,000
APPROVED BY: County Atty X OMB/	Purchasing Risk Management
	-a 1 Ad
DIVISION DIRECTOR APPROVAL:	Charles III
	Timothy J. McGarry, AICP
DOCUMENTATION: Included X	Not Required
DISPOSITION:	AGENDA ITEM #

RESOLUTION NO. -2005

A RESOLUTION REPEALING RESOLUTION NO. 211-2003, AND ANY OTHER FEE SCHEDULES INCONSISTENT HEREWITH, AND AMENDING THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT FEE SCHEDULE TO MORE EFFECTIVELY REPRESENT CURRENT COSTS REQUIRED TO ADEQUATELY OFFSET THE TRUE COSTS OF PROVIDING SUCH SERVICE TO THE PARTIES RECEIVING THE BENEFITS OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL, AND THUS, FURTHER REDUCING THE BURDEN CURRENTLY BEING BORNE BY THE TAXPAYERS AT LARGE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF NOVEMBER 1, 2005

WHEREAS, the Monroe County Board of County Commissioners wishes to provide the citizens of the County with the best possible service in the most cost effective and reasonable manner; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that it would be in the best interest of the general public to charge the true cost for development related services, thereby placing the burden of such costs directly upon those parties deriving the benefit; and,

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Director has demonstrated that the existing fee schedule does not reflect the true cost of providing the services to the parties requesting services from the Planning and Environmental Resources Department; and

WHEREAS, the updated fee schedule prepared by the Growth Management Division for providing these services includes the estimated direct costs and reasonable indirect costs associated with the review and processing of planning and development approval applications and site plans, on-site biological reviews, administrative appeals, and preparation of official documentation verifying existing development rights; and

WHEREAS, after hearing testimony and evidence presented as to the appropriate fee schedule during a public hearing on October 19, 2005, the Board of County Commissioners concurs with the recommendations of the Growth Management Director.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MONROE COUNTY FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 9.5-21, the following schedule of fees to be charged by the Growth Management Division for the filing of land development permits, land development approvals, land development orders, and appeal applications and requests for technical services or official letters attesting to development rights recognized by the County:

Alcoholic Beverage Application ¹	\$ 1,140.00
Administrative Appeals ¹	950.00
Administrative Relief	760.00
Beneficial Use	1,300.00
Biological Site Visit (per visit)	260.00
Boundary Determination	1,090.00
Conditional Use Application, Major ^{1,2,5}	6,020.00
Conditional Use, Application, Minor 1.2.5	4,570.00
Conditional Use, Minor Deviation	320.00
Development Agreement ^{1,5}	8,830.00
Development of Regional Impact ^{1,5}	9,970.00
DOAH Appeals ³	570.00
Future Land Use Map Amendment – Residential ^{1,2,5}	3,940.00
(With Land Use Map Amendment)	2,2 (3.33
Future Land Use Map Amendment – Nonresidential ^{1,2,5}	4,950.00
(With Land Use Map Amendment)	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Future Land Use Map Amendment – Residential ^{1,2,5}	3,010.00
(Without Land Use Map Amendment)	2,010.00
Future Land Use Map Amendment – Nonresidential ^{1,2,5}	4,020.00
(Without Land Use Map Amendment)	1,020.00
Habitat Evaluation Index (per hour)	60.00
Home Occupation Application	310.00
Land Use District Map Amendment –Residential ^{1,2,5}	2,940.00
Land Use District Map Amendment – Nonresidential ^{1,2,}	⁵ 3,970.00
LDR or Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment	2,270.00
Letter of Buildability (Current Site Conditions)	850.00
Letter of Development Rights Determination	1,620.00
Minor Conditional – TDR	420.00
Minor Conditional – TRE ²	570.00
NROGO Application ⁵	590.00
Platting, 5 lots or less ⁵	1,660.00
Platting, 6 lots or more ⁵	3,100.00
Pre-application with Letter of Understanding	620.00
Pre-application with No Letter of Understanding	270.00
Research, permits and records (per hour)	50.00
ROGO Application ^{2,5}	430.00
ROGO Lot/Parcel Dedication Letter	210.00
Sign Variance	920.00
Special Certificate of Appropriateness	200.00
Vacation Rental Application	390.00
Vacation Rental Renewal	100.00
Vacation Rental Manager License Fee	40.00
Variance, Planning Commission ^{1,2}	1,060.00
Variance, Planning Director ^{2,4}	650.00
Vested Rights Determination	850.00
Waiver, Planning Director ²	420.00

Subject to additional fees; \$245 for newspaper advertisement and \$3 per property owner notice.
 No application or other fees for affordable housing projects.

³ Subject to additional charges; payment of half the cost of the hearing officer, which is \$66 per hour. County is charged \$132 per hour by DOAH.

⁴ Subject to additional fee of \$3 per property owner notice.

- ⁵ Subject to technology fee of \$20 for records conversion, storage, and retrieval.
- **Section 2.** Resolution No. 211-2003 is hereby repealed.
- Section 3. The effective date of the Fee Schedule shall be November 1, 2005.
- **Section 4.** The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to forward one (1) certified copy of this Resolution to the Division of Growth Management.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Monroe County, Florida, held on the 19th day of October, 2005.

	Mayor Dixie Spear Mayor Pro Tem Charles "Sonny" McCoy
	Commissioner George Neugent
	Commissioner David Rice
	Commissioner Murray E. Nelson
	BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA
	BY:
	Mayor/Chairperson
700 A. F. N	
(SEAL)	
ATTEST: DANNY K	COHLAGE, CLERK
	MONROE COUNTY ATTORNEY APPROVED AS TO FORM.
By:	Date: Kessey Thelia
Deputy Clerk	

County of Monroe

Growth Management Division 2798 Overseas Highway Suite 410

Marathon, Florida 33050 Voice: 305.289, 2500 FAX: 305.289.2536



Board of County Commissioners Mayor Dixie Spehar, District 1 Mayor Pro Tem Charles "Sonny" McCoy, District 3 George Neugent, District 2 David Rice, District 4 Murray E. Nelson, District 5

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Board of County Commissioners**

FROM:

Timothy J. McGarry, AICP Director of Growth Management

DATE: October 3, 2005

Revised Fee Schedule for Planning Applications SUBJECT:

Overview

The fee schedule for planning applications has not been completely updated since June 2003. Not only have personnel and other costs increased since that time, but with the adoption of FY06 Budget, the County is aggressively implementing cost recovery approaches to providing government services. This philosophy ensures that costs to provide services should be borne by those specifically receiving the benefits of such services.

Approach

In preparing the new fee schedule, the staff completed the following steps:

- 0 Time Records. Time records on specific projects kept or produced by staff were tabulated by type of application and reviewed by senior management. These records provided the initial base line information for the senior staff in determining the appropriate average time and staff assigned on each type of planning application.
- O Base Labor Rate. The "fully loaded" FY 06 labor costs, including both direct labor (salary) and fringe benefits, were calculated for each review or processing position. These labor costs were then divided by the number of total hours available by position to review and process applications in a year to provide a base hourly rate. The number of total hours available takes into account holidays, vacations, sick leave, and general administrative duties.

- O <u>Indirect Labor Rate.</u> Indirect costs were then calculated for each position in the Growth Management Division and Fire Marshal's office. Total indirect costs, reflects the amount of funds in the budget to be transferred from Fund 148 to the General Fund in FY 06 to pay for support services provided to Fund 148 activities. This total was divided by the total estimated available hours of all personnel in the Growth Management Division and Fire Marshal's office to provide a per hour indirect rate per position.
- O <u>Full Labor Rate.</u> The per hour direct labor rate and indirect labor rate were added together to provide an hourly "billable" rate for each position.
- O <u>Fee Schedule Work Sheet.</u> The fee schedule work sheet (Exhibit A) was used to calculate the anticipated labor costs to process and review each type of application. The average number of hours assigned to each application type by position is shown on the worksheet. A reference identifying each position is provided at the end of the worksheet.

Change in Fee Schedule

Exhibit B provides a comparison between the current and proposed fee schedule. Fees for most planning applications will be increased, some significantly such as Development Agreements, Beneficial Use Determinations, Future Land Use Amendments, and Platting of 6 or more lots. Fees for other applications are proposed for reductions, based on the results of an examination of actual staff time historically applied to these types of applications.

A major proposed change is that the Planning and Environmental Resources Department will no longer use the "Pre-Application with Letter of Understanding" application to provide applicants with a written official determination of the number of dwelling units and non-residential floor space "lawfully" recognized by the County on properties. Such determination will be through a new application and service called "Letter of Development Rights Determination".

The current "Pre-application with Letter of Understanding" has been bogged down by the demand on the staff to conduct lengthy and costly reviews of planning and building records. Such determination should be conducted separately. This change would allow the pre-application process to be conducted as was its original purpose, to be an interactive forum for review by staff and applicant of conceptual development plans and to make applicants aware of County policies, regulations, and procedures.

In addition, the Planning Department will offer a more inexpensive option to applications called a "Pre-application without a Letter of Understanding". This lower cost option would make the staff available for discussion of development proposals with property owners/applicants without the need for a written Letter of Understanding. It would also help recoup the costs of staff time that where property owners and developers routinely arrange meetings with staff to discuss their development proposals without paying for the service, which is required in the Pre-application process.

In addition, a small "Technological Fee" will be applied to certain permit applications for records conversion, storage, and retrieval. This fee will assist the Planning and Environmental Resources Department in progressing toward a more "paper-less" system.

Anticipated Revenues

The staff anticipates that the proposed new fee schedule will result in at least \$350,000 in revenues for FY06. The staff estimates that without the proposed increase in fee schedule the projected revenues for FY06 may be less than \$300,000, depending upon the level of development activity. These revenues will cover the costs incurred by staff in processing and reviewing these applications further eliminating any subsidy to pay for such services from ad valorem and non-user fee revenue sources.

Recommendation

The staff recommends approval of the resolution establishing a new fee schedule for Planning and Environmental Resources Department that will go into effect on November 1, 2005.

Exhibits

EXHIBIT A		-			A Control of the Cont						andmin to fund the fact of the contraction of of the con		
		**************************************	FEE S(CHEDL	CHEDULE WORKSHEET)RKSH	L L		21		The second secon		***************************************
APPLICATION/SERIVCE	GMD	PD	DIPT	PP \$51.00	SP/P	BIO \$51 00	PT \$39.00	PCC	ADMIN	ENG S5100	FW FW	ATT 855 00	
Alcoholic Beverages	man de la company de la compan				4))	16	2))		-	\$1,144.00
Admin. Appeals	-	က		က			ಣ	2	2			4	\$952.00
Admin, Relief	2	3			2		7		7		de la constanta de la constant	2	\$756.00
Beneficial Use	2	ស			က	4			2			9	\$1,300.00
Biological Site Visit						4							\$204.00
Boundary Determination		_	•	-	***************************************		20		2			_	\$1,088.00
Flum Res. Amend. w/ LU	က	9	4		40	æ	4	4	4			2	\$3,927.00
Flum Comm. Amend.w/LU	4	8	9		48	12	9	4	4			ഹ	\$4,935.00
Flum Res. Amend. wo/LU	2	ល	(n)		32	Q	က	က	က			8	\$3,012.00
Flum Comm. Amend.wo/LU	3	7	5		40	9	5	က	က		,	က	\$4,020.00
LDR/Comp Plan Txt Amend.	က	9		12	12			က	က			(C)	\$2,268.00
Conditional Use (Major)	7	8	Φ	12	48	16	8	4	2	4	す	4	\$6,024.00
Conditional Use (Minor)		9	Ø	വ	40	9	8	7	2	2	N	2	\$4,567.00
Conditional Use-minor dev.		****			2	~			2				\$328.00
Conditional Use (Minor) -TRE		2	N		10	7	ထ	N	7			2	\$1,676.00
Development Agreement	24	48		32		9		4	4			9	\$8,830.00
Development Rights Letter	***	7			7	ব	24		~			7	\$1,618.00
DRI	16	64		48			16	4	4			9	\$9,966.00
DOAH Appeals								12	2			~	\$571.00
Home Occupation		0.5					9		~~~				\$308.00
LU District Map Amend/Comm	2	9	4		40	16	4	က	2			7	\$3,973.00
LU District Map Amend/Res	2	4	4		32	9	က	က	ಌ			2	\$2,940.00
Letter of Buildability-Site Visit						16							\$852.00
NROGO Application					-	2	8		2			₹***	\$587.00
Platting-5 Lots or Less	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	~			S		24	***	~	2		~~	\$1,658.00
Platting-6 Lots or More		す	*		8	~-	48	2	7	က	7	-	\$3,096.00
Pre-app w/ LOU		7	7		3	2	2		~				\$624.00
Pre-app without LOU		~				•			~				\$268.00
ROGO Application						7	9		—			~	\$427.00
ROGO Land Dedication		A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			3.5			_				\$214.50
Sign Variance			~~				16	2	_				\$924.00
TDR-Minor Conditional Use		_				8			_				\$520.00
TRE-Minor Conditional		_			4	7	3		•			•	\$570.00
Vacation Rental Determination		_					ထ						\$388.00
Vacation Rental Manager							0.5		0.5				\$37.50
Variance -P.C.		****		_	9		8	~	~			A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	\$1,064.00
Variance-Planning Director		സ					α	****	N				\$649.00

Vested Rights Determination	2 2	*	2	2		8	\$851.00
Waiver-Planning Director	2	A CANADA	9	The state of the s	**************************************		\$422.00
						.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Position Reference							
Growth Management Director	QWD						
Planning Director	Q			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Dir, Island Planning Team	TAIO	V					
Principal Planner	<u> </u>						
Senior Planner/Planner	SP/P					1111 ² 1111 ² 1 ² 1 ¹ 1	
Biologist	08	MANUFACTOR AND THE STREET THE STREET STR					
Planning Technician	<u></u>	AND THE RESERVENCE OF THE STATE					
Planning Comm. Coord.	DCC						
Assorted Admin Staff	ADMN	The state of the s					
Engineer	ENG						
Fire Marshal	<u> </u>						
Attorney	ΤA						

CURRENT VERSUS PROPOSED PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL APPLICATION FEES AND SERVICE CHARGES

Application/Service	Current	Proposed	Change
Alcoholic Beverage App.	\$ 1,350	\$1, 140	\$ (210)
Administrative Appeals	250	950	700
Administrative Relief	0	750	750
Beneficial Use	500	1,300	800
Biological Site Visit (per visit)	100	200	100
Boundary Determination	500	1,090	590
Conditional Use (Major)	5,390	5,970	580
Conditional Use (Minor)	4,500	4,570	70
Conditional Use (minor deviation)	100	320	220
Development Agreement	4,000	8,830	4,830
DOAH Appeals	200	570	370
FLUM Amen. – Res w/ LUM Am	2,590	3,930	1,340
FLUM AmenCom w/LUM Am	3,590	4,950	1,360
FLUM Amen Res w/o LUM Am.		3,010	420
FLUM Amen Com w/o LUM Am		4,020	430
Home Occupation Application	250	350	100
Land Use Map Amend – Res.	2,090	2,940	850
Land Use Map Amend – Comm.	3,090	3,970	880
LDR/Comp Plan Text Amend.	2,590	2,270	320
Letter of Buildability	350	850	500
Letter of Development Rights Deter	. n/a	1,620	
Minor Conditional –TDR	700	520	(180)
Minor Conditional – TRE	700	570	(130)
NROGO Application	500	590	90
Platting, 5 lots or less	1,000	1,660	660
Platting, 6 lots or more	2,000	3,100	1,100
Pre-app w/ Letter of Understanding	500	620	120
Pre-app w/ no Letter of Understandi	ng n/a	270	unt tab ess dats
ROGO Application	200	430	230
ROGO Lot/Parcel Dedication Letter	175	210	35
Sign Variance	700	920	220
Special Certificate of Appropriatene	ss 200	200	0
Vacation Rental Application	245	390	145
Vacation Rental Application Renew	al 100	100	0
Vacation Rental Manager Lic. Fee/R	ten 35	40	5
Variance, Planning Commission	1,330	1,060	(270)
Variance, Planning Director	750	650	(100)
Vested Rights Determination	700	850	150
Waiver, Planning Director	750	420	(330)

Notes: Additional charges or charges for services by the hour are not shown.